



RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
GROUP ART UNIT 2174

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application. No:	09/745,297
Filed:	December 20, 2000
Inventor(s):	Ram Kudukoli, Robert E. Dye, Paul F. Austin, Lothar Wenzel, and Jeffrey L. Kodosky
Title:	System and Method for Programmatically Modifying a Graphical Program in Response to Program Information

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Examiner:	Sax, Steven Paul
Group/Art Unit:	2174
Atty. Dkt. No:	5150-52300

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Mark S. Williams

6-24-04  
Date

*Mark S. Williams*  
Signature

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION  
AND REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION  
IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF  
APRIL 7, 2004

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED  
JUL 02 2004  
Technology Center 2100

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action of April 7, 2004, to further highlight why the application is in condition for allowance. A Request for Continued Examination is included herewith.

Summary of Telephone Interview

Applicant conducted a Telephone Interview with Examiner Sax on Wednesday, June 23, 2004, in which the meaning of the term “graphical program” with respect to Applicant’s invention was discussed at length. Applicant suggested emphasizing the

diagrammatic and executable nature of the graphical program in the independent claim.  
The Examiner agreed that this would help move the case along.

Please amend the case as listed below.